

**Minutes of the Committee of the Whole Meeting of the City of Victoria
Held Thursday, July 08, 2004 at 9:00 a.m.**

Committee Present: Mayor Lowe, in the Chair; and Councillors Coleman, Fortin, Fleming, Holland, Hughes, Madoff, Savoie, and Thornton-Joe

Staff Present: J. Martignago – City Manager; and M. Moodrey - Committee Secretary

Staff Present for a Portion of the Meeting: D. Atkinson, Director of Parks, Recreation & Community Development; S. Barber, Heritage Planner; L. Baryluk, Planner; J. Basey, Director of Planning & Development/City Solicitor; L. Chase, Planner; D. Chow; Manager of Recreation Services; J. Daly, Manager of Park Design & Development; K. Dowdall, Director of Finance; S. Hortsing, Project Manager, Victoria Centre; M. Lam, Head of Urban Design; J. Matanowitsch, Planner; A. Meyer, Planner; C. O'Regan, Community Recreation Coordinator; B. Sikstrom, Planner; R. Woodland, Corporate Administrator; W. Zink, Manager of Community Development

1. CALL TO ORDER

The Chair called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

The Committee of the Whole Agenda of the July 08, 2004 meeting was circulated for review. The City Manager informed Committee that:

1. Regular Meeting, Item F-17 – First Nations Youth Suicide Presentation Rally was taken off the Agenda;
2. Regular Meeting, Item G-19 – Proclamations, “Canada Parks Day – July 17, 2004” was added to the Agenda;
3. Regular Meeting, Councillor Information Sharing – Councillor Coleman.
4. Closed Meeting, Late Item No. K-22 – Chief’s Permit: the hearing was cancelled and a letter was added to the Agenda;
5. Closed Meeting – Councillor Inquiries – Councillor Hughes and Councillor Savoie;
6. Closed Meeting – Legal matter;
7. Closed Meeting – Cook Street Police Station.

Action: Councillor Hughes moved that the Committee of the Whole Agenda of July 08, 2004 be approved as amended.

CARRIED. 04/488

3. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

The Committee of the Whole Minutes of the June 24, 2004 meeting were circulated for review.

Action: Councillor Hughes moved that the Minutes of the Committee of the Whole meeting held June 24, 2004, be adopted.

CARRIED. 04/487

4. DELEGATIONS

4.1 FASD Community Circle - Victoria

David Gerry, Director of FASD Community Circle – Victoria, made a presentation to Committee with respect to the Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder (FASD), its implication and costs to society. He touched on Canadian Statistics of births with prenatal alcohol exposure, associated facial features of children with FASD, coronal sections of a brain affected by FASD, plus the complex portrait of primary disabilities and the secondary disabilities suffered by a victim of FASD.

FASD Community Circle – Victoria's mission statement is: "*Victoria is dedicated to eliminating birth defects caused by alcohol consumption during pregnancy and improving the quality of life for those individuals and families impacted.*"

FASD Community Circle – Victoria is committed to:

- Communicate the message that there is no established safe threshold of alcohol consumption during pregnancy.
- Increase public awareness of Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder, and the personal and economic costs of FASD to our society.
- Eliminate the stigma and shame associated with drinking during pregnancy and treat those at risk for addiction with value and dignity.
- To foster coordination among all groups which are impacted by FASD.
- Educating leaders, lawmakers and other policy makers around the world so that they can better make decisions, laws and policies that will reduce the incidence of FASD.

Mr. Gerry asked Council to consider enacting a bylaw for FASD like the ones in Duncan, B.C., Prince George, B.C., and the State of Arizona. Committee requested that this matter be referred to the Social Planning Advisory Committee for consideration and to report back to Committee.

04/486

4.2 Victoria Heritage Foundation

Andrew Rushforth, President, of the Victoria Heritage Foundation Board, presented the 2003 Annual Report, which details the VHF's House Grants – programs, which provided funding to 35 houses in 2003, and many public awareness projects of the VHF's Education Committee.

Victoria Heritage Foundation (VHF) was established in 1983 by Victoria City Council to administer the City's funding program for heritage houses, begun in 1978. In 2003, 12 more heritage houses received official designation. This brought the total to 324, of which 263 had received funding assistance from the City for 734 projects

over the past 26 years. In 2003, 39 house grant applications were made, valued at \$325,000 in restoration work; 35 of those applications received financial assistance. Six of those 35 houses, or 17%, were new to the City funding program. Because VHF's City grant was again \$125,000, VHF were able to approve grants to homeowners at 25% of the project costs, for a total of over \$78,000.

Jennifer Barr, Executive Director, of The Victoria Heritage Foundation Board and Nick Russell, a member of the Education Committee, presented the new publication from its Education Committee, "*This Old House: Victoria's Heritage Neighbourhoods, Volume One: Fernwood and Victoria West*", written and published by the Victoria Heritage Foundation on behalf of the City of Victoria with funding assistance from the City. This is the first volume of the four volumes that will be published.

04/485

Councillor Madoff declared an indirect pecuniary conflict of interest with the following matter, and was excused from the meeting at 9:47 a.m.

5. PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

5.1 240 – 252 Cook and 1035 – 29/43 Sutelej Streets, Rezoning No. 04-05

Committee received a memorandum dated June 29, 2004 from the Planning Division. This is an application from The Amadon Group for Blueagle Investments Ltd. / Blueagle Developments to acquire a section of public lane and amend the *Official Community Plan* land use designation and Development Permit Area designation on 3 properties and to rezone 5 properties to accommodate a 3 to 5 storey, mixed use development with 43 housing units and ground floor commercial space in the Cook Street Village area.

ACTION: Councillor Thornton-Joe moved that it be recommended to Council that:

1. The rezoning application No. 04-5 for 240-252 Cook Street and 1035/39/43 Sutelej Street be forwarded for consideration at a Public Hearing and that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary *Official Community Plan* and *Zoning Bylaw* amendments (including the extension of the Development Permit Area to cover the Sutelej Street Properties) based on the draft zone set out in Appendix 1, subject to securing community amenities (not including paving of the lane) valued at \$838,033 where physical amenities are provided plus any shortfall be secured in cash plus 25%, and subject to the Conditions of Approval outlined in Appendix 2, which is summarized as follows:
 - (a) Registration of a Housing Agreement against the title of all of the subject properties with respect to the 2 rental units to be rented for a period of not less than 20 years at 80% of CMHC market rates for the Cook Street Village area.
 - (b) Registration of a Master Development Agreement against the title of all of the subject properties with respect to the proposed community amenity package to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Development. The community

amenity package shall have a value of not less than \$838,033.

- (c) Review of the proposal by the Advisory Planning Commission.
 - (d) Review of the proposal by the Advisory Design Panel.
 - (e) Written confirmation to the satisfaction of the Director of Parks, Recreation & Community Development that the excavation cut slopes required to both preserve the "Critical Root Zone" areas and to limit the extent of excavation to private land only can be met; and that construction of the project will not alter the existing drainage pattern in the area.
 - (f) Final design of street improvements be completed to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering and the Director of Parks, Recreation and Community Development.
2. Approval in principle be given to closure of the lane and its sale at fair market value to the applicant on the basis that a surface right-of-way be retained by the City for vehicle and pedestrian use, subject to the rezoning of the land as proposed. And that the City Solicitor be authorized to commence with the preparation of the necessary bylaws, documents and survey plans. (Applicable costs to be at the expense of the applicant). The rezoning and lane closure bylaws to be considered concurrently.
 3. The applicant consult with the Fairfield Community Association on the community amenity package, prior to the public hearing.

CARRIED. 04/484

Councillor Madoff returned to the meeting at 10:00 a.m.

5.2 1135 Hilda Street, Development Variance Permit 04-32

Committee received a memorandum dated June 30, 2004 from the Planning Division advising that Kim Paterson has submitted an application for a Development Variance Permit to permit the addition of a suite within an existing apartment building without an on-site parking stall. The building presently contains eight suites and has two parking stalls. The approved use for the site is a nine-unit apartment building.

Staff reviewed the application and note that the addition of one suite is unlikely to impact on-street parking demand in the neighbourhood. Council's decision is subject to Local Government Act notification requirements to owner and occupiers of the subject property. A Council hearing is required for this application.

ACTION: Councillor Madoff moved that it be recommended to Council that Council authorize the issuance of a Development Variance Permit in accordance with:

1. Plans stamped "Development Variance Permit No. 04-32" dated June 23, 2004.
2. Development meeting all bylaw requirements with the following variance:
Section 3.10.17(2) Parking requirement relaxed from 2 parking spaces to nil for the additional rental suite in the existing eight-unit apartment.

3. Final plans to be in accordance with plans identified above to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning & Development.

CARRIED. 04/483

5.3 150 Langford Street, Development Permit No. 03-51B

Committee received a memorandum dated June 21, 2004 from the Planning Division. On June 03, 2004, Committee of the Whole reviewed a report requesting a parking variance for the mini-storage development proposed for the property located at 150 Langford Street. Because of the reduced requirement for parking spaces, part of the site was freed up and used to expand the building floor space by 298m². Council requested that the applicant reconsider his proposal and use the freed-up land for increased green space around the building. The application now reflects that request with the increased setbacks along Alston Street and Langford Street.

The parking variance request remains the same, reducing the number of required parking spaces from 1 space per 93m² (104 spaces) to 1 space per 422m² (23 spaces). The Development Permit application is not subject to a notification requirement and a hearing.

- ACTION:** Councillor Fortin moved that it be recommended to Council that Council authorize the issuance of a Development Permit in accordance with:
1. Plans stamped "Development Permit Application 03-51B" dated June 18, 2004.
 2. Development meeting all bylaw requirements except Schedule "C", Section D1, relaxation of parking requirement from 1 space per 93m² (104 spaces) to 1 space per 422m² (23 spaces).
 3. Registration of a covenant to ensure that the parking variance only applies to the land while used as a mini-storage warehouse, prior to issuance of building permit.
 4. Final plans in accordance with plans identified above to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning & Development.

CARRIED. 04/482

Councillor Thornton-Joe declared a non-pecuniary conflict of interest with the following matter, and was excused from the meeting at 10:15 a.m.

5.4 528 – 532 Pandora Avenue, Development (Heritage Alteration) Permit No. 04-27

Committee received a memorandum dated June 30, 2004 from the Heritage Planner and the Head of Urban Design advising that 626661 B.C. Ltd. (The Amadon Group) and M. Tomaszewski (the applicant) submitted an application for the construction of an eight-storey mixed use building on the "Buckerfield's Parkade" site in Chinatown, a Heritage Conservation Area and National Historic District. The building includes 194 residential suites, retail, live work/flex space, and four levels of underground parking, with a landscaped courtyard and mid-block walkway.

The mid block walkway and courtyard are proposed to complement the existing network of pedestrian alleys in Chinatown. The façade of the existing Heritage Designated building at 528-532 Pandora Avenue will be retained and rehabilitated. Vehicular access to the underground parking is provided directly from Pandora Avenue only.

The applicant has by letters dated May 12, 2004 and June 9, 2004 described the rationale of proposing a new design to replace that of the currently approved Development Permit 01-28A. On June 25, 2004, at the joint meeting of the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) / Heritage Advisory Committee (HAC), the amended proposal was reviewed. The joint ADP/HAC committee recommended its approval subject to its review prior to building stage.

The Development (Heritage Alteration) Permit is not subject to a notification requirement or a hearing.

ACTION: Councillor Hughes moved that this matter be postponed for further consideration at the July 22, 2004 committee of the Whole meeting pending a presentation from the applicant.

CARRIED. 04/481

Councillor Thornton-Joe returned to the meeting at 10:50 a.m.

5.5 788 Humboldt Street, Development Permit No. 04-22

Committee received a memorandum dated June 24, 2004 from the Head of Urban Design advising that Concert Properties submitted an application for a Development Permit to construct a 15-storey, 79 unit residential and commercial building. The proposed building is to be located on the east end of the Y-Lot bounded by Blanshard / Humboldt / Penwell / Fairfield. While this development is on a separate lot, it is the third and last phase following the Marriott Hotel (completed Phase 1) and the Astoria residential block (under construction Phase 2). The proposal demonstrates a close adherence to the intent and spirit of the approved Urban Design Principles – Y-Lot, Victoria, British Columbia.

The Advisory Design Panel at its meeting of June 23, 2004 reviewed the proposal and recommended its approval.

The Development Permit application is not subject to a notification requirement and a hearing.

ACTION: Councillor Madoff moved that it be recommended to Council that Council authorize the issuance of a Development Permit in accordance with:

1. Plans stamped "Development Permit Application No. 04-22", dated June 11, 2004;
2. Development meeting all by-law requirements;
3. An amended, fully dimensioned parking / access plan (prior to Building Permit stage) to be provided to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering;

4. A plan describing in full details of the proposed public art installation confirming compliance with the Master Development Agreement to be submitted prior to issuance of the Building Permit to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning & Development.
5. Final plans to be in accordance with plans identified above to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning & Development.

CARRIED. 04/480

5.6 90 Bay Street - Rezoning Application #03-17

Committee received a memorandum dated June 16, 2004 from the Planning Division. In January 2004, Council received a report from the Planning Division regarding a rezoning application for 90 Bay Street which included a recommendation, "*That the property be included in the Intensive Residential Development Permit Area #26 regulating the exterior design and finish of the existing and proposed new house as well as landscaping.*" Since that time, the Planning Division has learned that it is not possible to include the property in DPA #26 because the lot size (765 m²) and proposed use (triplex and small house on one lot) do not fit within the guidelines, which state:

In this Development Permit Area, "intensive residential development" means rezoning to permit:

- (a) *Single Family dwellings on lots that have an area of less than 460 m², and*
- (b) *Duplexes.*

The exterior design and finish of the existing and proposed new house as well as landscaping can still be regulated through the registration of a covenant on the property. The applicant has agreed to this.

ACTION: Councillor Madoff moved that it be recommended to Council that Council amend the Minutes of May 27, 2004 by deleting 2(a) and replacing it with:

2. The application be forwarded for consideration at a Public Hearing, subject to:
 - (a) a covenant regulating the exterior design and finish of the existing and proposed new house as well as the landscaping be registered on the property's title prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

CARRIED. 04/479

5.7 2010 Stanley Avenue, Rezoning No. 03-39

Committee received a memorandum dated June 28, 2004 from the Planning Division advising that Caroline and Walter Cooper have submitted an application to rezone lands at 2010 Stanley Avenue from the R1-B Zone to a new zone that would permit two detached single family dwellings on one lot. The legal use on the property is one single-family dwelling and an accessory structure, which is approved for a horse stable. The property is subject to an enforcement order.

At the January 24, 2004 Committee of the Whole meeting, Council tabled the application, requesting that the applicant submit building permit applications to return the dwelling and the accessory building back to their original legal use. The applicant has completed this undertaking, thereby satisfying Council's Clean Hands Policy.

The City has no policy for this form of land use, however its Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that each small lot have a minimum area of 260m². If the subject lands were divided into two lots, the size of each lot would be 240.4m². The subject lands do not meet the requirements for small lot rezoning.

- ACTION:** Councillor Hughes moved that it be recommended to Council that:
1. The rezoning application #03-39 for 2010 Stanley Street be forwarded for consideration at a Public Hearing;
 2. The City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Zoning Bylaw amendments to rezone the property from the R1-B Zone to a new zone based on the R1-S2 Zone, with the following exceptions:
 - (a) Two single-family dwellings are permitted on one lot, with one located in the rear yard.
 - (b) Front Yard Dwelling:
 - (i) Section 1.23.4 The maximum height of the dwelling shall be 8m and 2 ½ storeys
 - (ii) Section 1.23.8(a) The front yard setback shall be 4.7m
 - (iii) Section 1.23.8(c) The north side yard setback shall be 0.8m
 - (c) Rear Yard Dwelling:
 - (i) Section 1.23.4 The maximum height of the dwelling shall be 4.7m and 1 ½ storeys
 - (ii) Section 1.23.8(b) The rear yard setback shall be 1m
 - (iii) Section 1.23.8(c) The north side yard setback shall be 1.6m
 3. That the *Official Community Plan* be amended to include the subject lands in Intensive Residential Development Permit Area #26 regulating exterior design, finish and landscaping
 4. That an Agreement be secured between the owner of 2010 Stanley Avenue and the City that the property will be brought into conformity with all City bylaws and applicable codes within one year of approval of the rezoning

CARRIED. 04/478

5.8 Harris Green – Zoning – Build to Line SC 11-94.2 (Harris Green Implementation)

Committee received a memorandum dated June 29, 2004 from the Manager, City Planning and the Manager of Development and Regulatory Services. In June 2003,

Committee of the Whole recommended that staff review the 'build to line' provisions in the R-48 Zone of the Zoning Bylaw to provide flexibility aimed at decreasing the requests for relaxations of this provision. A review of the design considerations for the 'build to line' and the implementing regulations has been undertaken. There are a number of options available to Council to amend this provision without compromising the intent of the urban design principles.

- ACTION:** Councillor Savoie moved that it be recommended to Council that:
1. The *Zoning Regulation Bylaw* be amended to eliminate the reference to 'build to line' in the R-48 zone and in its place create the following setbacks:
 - (a) The minimum setback from the front lot line is 0.5m, except where the first storey is used for residential purposes, then the minimum setback shall be 3.5m.

CARRIED. 04/477

5.9 2565 Wesley Place, Development Variance Permit No. 04-06

Committee received a memorandum dated June 25, 2004 from the Planning Division advising that Sheck Ying Itakura submitted an application for a Development Variance Permit to allow for the construction of a garage on the north side of an existing single family dwelling. The property is in the R-2 Zone. The applicant is requesting the following relaxations:

- Front yard setback relaxed from 7.5m to 6.4m
- Rear Yard setback relaxed from 7.5m to 5.18m

Completion of the garage is nearly complete. The applicant would like to legalize the existing situation on the lot. The applicant has submitted a building permit application, which is on hold pending the result of the Development Variance Permit request. Due to the existing illegal construction on the site, staff applied the City's Clean Hands Policy. A covenant have been registered.

The proposed variance is considered to be minor in nature. It is not anticipated that the proposed garage would have negatively impact the neighbouring properties. Council's decision is subject to Local Government Act notification requirements to owner and occupiers of the proposal property. A Council hearing is required for this application.

- ACTION:** Councillor Madoff moved that this matter be postponed for further consideration at the July 22, 2004 Committee of the Whole meeting pending inspection of the building and garage on the subject property by a City Building Inspector with a report back to Committee of the Whole.

CARRIED. 04/476

5.10 1620 Earle Street, Development Variance Permit No. 04-29

Committee received a memorandum dated June 21, 2004 from the Planning Division advising that Rebecca Phillips submitted an application for a Development Variance Permit to relax the parking requirement under Schedule C of the Zoning Bylaw. The applicant is proposing that the off-street parking requirements be relaxed from 5

spaces to 2 spaces to accommodate the expansion of an existing pre-school. The applicant is also requesting that parking be permitted in the front yard.

Council's decision is subject to the Local Government Act notification requirements to owner and occupiers of the proposal property. A Council hearing is required for this application.

ACTION: Councillor Fleming moved that it be recommended to Council that Council authorizes the issuance of a Development Variance permit in accordance with:

1. Development meeting all bylaw requirements with the following variances:
Schedule "C" Section B6 (a) relaxation of required parking for kindergarten relaxed from 4 parking spaces to 0 parking space;
Schedule "C" Section 3 relaxation to permit parking for 1 stall to be located in front yard.
2. Final plans to be modified to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Development.

CARRIED. 04/475

6.0 PARKS & RECREATION

6.1 Greenways Plan Implementation

Committee received a memorandum dated July 02, 2004 from the Manager of Park Design & Development. In August of 2003, the City of Victoria Adopted a "Greenways Plan". City Council allocated funding, effective July 1, 2004, for a full-time position to implement the Greenways Plan (.5 FTE) and assist in completing other park related projects (.5 FTE).

An interdisciplinary team has been formed to guide the implementation of the Greenways Plan. The Greenways Plan Implementation Team will include internal staff and external members of the public. Internal members will represent several divisions, including: Parks, Community Development, Planning, Transportation, Streets, Water and Environment. The role and composition of the Greenways Plan Implementation Team will be reviewed after one year.

ACTION: Councillor Holland moved that Council receive this report for information.

CARRIED. 04/474

6.2 Recreation Renewal Final Report

Committee received a memorandum dated July 08, 2004 from the Manager of Recreation Services and the Community Recreation Coordinator. In the 2003 budget discussions, City Council identified the need for a Recreation Renewal Plan to address:

- Aging facility infrastructure and operating inefficiencies;
- Changing consumer needs & trends;

- The need for sustainable service and program delivery models.

A Recreation Renewal Team comprised of residents, community and senior centre representatives and city staff was formed to make recommendations to Council that would result in the development of a plan to strengthen service delivery and provide for the future recreation needs of the community. The Recreation Renewal Team was introduced to Committee and the project team presented their final report – *“Bold and Dynamic, A Vision for Wellness Recreation”*:

- Russ Donaldson Resident
- Kelly Mann Resident
- Bev Windjack Resident
- Darcy Topinka Past Community Network and Resident
- Chris Coleman Councillor and Resident
- Joan Kotarski Community and Senior Centre Network and Resident
- Sheila Statham Community and Senior Centre Network
- Donna Atkinson Parks, Recreation and Community Development
- Bruce Bauman Parks, Recreation and Community Development
- Don Chow Parks, Recreation and Community Development
- Sandy Clarke Parks, Recreation and Community Development
- Cindy O’Regan Parks, Recreation and Community Development

Consultants:

- David Hewko CannonJohnston Architecture and Resident
- David Roach CannonJohnston Architecture
- Cheryl Hodgson RecreationSolutions
- Doug Bastin Grant Thornton

For over a year, this project team has been working on the report: *“Bold and Dynamic: A Vision for Wellness Recreation”*, for Council’s consideration. In bringing forward this plan for meeting the recreation needs of the community, significant efforts were made by the committee to consider information and feedback from stakeholders, consumers, staff, and the public. Extensive research on best practices and other community models was also undertaken. The research and consultation undertaken by the committee has culminated in a recommended model for sustainable recreation and community services program delivery for Victoria. The *“The Life Cycle Costing Report - Recreation Services Facilities Infrastructure Assessment, City of Victoria (Abridged – December 30, 2003)”* was also presented by the project team.

The Recreation Renewal Framework introduces the Future Vision for the quality of life section in the City of Victoria, illustrates a compelling rationale for a new way of providing recreation services and itemizes what needs to occur to create and maintain a sustainable recreation system. The system will link and coordinate with community services networks and organizations. The result is a Victoria designed solution driven by the City’s unique characteristics and temperaments.

The role that recreation plays in the lives of the individuals and communities is radically changing in the 21st century. While earlier models placed priority on sports, leisure or play, new models are recognizing that recreation has the potential for a

much more purposeful role in creating a strong healthy, and connected community. Today, and in coming decades, recreation has a pivotal and integral role in helping individuals, families and neighbourhoods achieve their full physical, social, intellectual and emotional potentials. These potentials are unique to each community and depend on a variety of factors including demographics.

The Future Vision that will shape and guide the City of Victoria's recreation initiatives during the next decade is described in the following Vision Statement recommended by the Recreation Renewal Project Team:

Vision Statement

Victoria is a dynamic city where communities of shared interest, working together offer quality of life activities and services so people can enjoy active, healthy lifestyles.

The Committee thanked the Recreation Renewal Team for their dedication and hard work.

The Committee expressed reassurances to the public that the Recreation Renewal Plan is not designed to replace the Community Centres, which play a separate role in the city.

ACTION: Councillor Coleman moved that it be recommended to Council that:

1. Council receives the Final Report of the Recreation Renewal Team for information and approve the formation of a Recreation and Community Services Implementation Team to:
 - A. Proceed with the planning and development of a new city wide hub facility.
 - B. Concurrently proceed with:
 - a. A Social and Neighbourhood planning process that, assesses existing social programs delivered through community centres; assesses community social needs and capacities and; makes recommendations that support social program sustainability.

The following recommendations be postponed for further consideration by Committee pending a report on recommendation 1B(a) from the Recreation and Community Services Implementation Team in September 2004:

- B. Concurrently proceed with:
 - b. A sector facility planning process with those organizations that have indicated support for working in partnership to:
 - (i) Plan a coordinated sector facility(s) to meet the broader neighbourhood needs;
 - (ii) Design and implement a new governance structure;
 - (iii) Develop transition plans in consultation with groups affected;
 - (iv) Identify and create strategic relationships with other organizations;
 - c. Work with those organizations that express the desire to retain a facility for their programs and services to:
 - (i) Develop a business plan for sustainability of social/neighbourhood based program and service

- delivery and facility operation and maintenance;
- (ii) Develop a strategic relationship plan with the City to identify roles, responsibilities, accountability and financial support, standards of operation and facility maintenance.
- d. The Recreation and Community Services Implementation Team report back to City Council on a strategy, which identifies wellness recreation sector facilities, neighbourhood house facilities and autonomous facilities with plans for coordinated service industry, facility and program operation, capital development and sustainability.”

CARRIED. 04/473

7. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

7.1 Disposition of Communications

Committee received a memorandum dated July 08, 2004, from the Manager, Administration, reporting that certain communications received in the Legislative Services Division have been dealt with on the date and in the manner indicated.

Action: Councillor Madoff moved that the Disposition of Communications dated July 08, 2004 from the Corporate Administrator, be received for information and filed.

CARRIED. 04/472

7.2 Proclamations

Action: Councillor Madoff moved that:

1. July 05 - 11, 2004 be proclaimed “National Christian Choir Week” in the City of Victoria, British Columbia; and
2. July 17, 2004 be proclaimed “Canada Parks Day” in the City of Victoria, British Columbia.

CARRIED. 04/471

7.3 Grants – Greater Victoria Film Commission

Committee received a letter dated July 06, 2004, addressed to the Mayor, seeking short-term financial assistance for the Greater Victoria Film Commission (the Commission). The Commission’s request to the City of Victoria is for a short-term assistance in the form of additional economic development support funds of \$10,000. This would allow the Greater Victoria Film Commission to hire an individual for a one-year period, thus, allowing the Commission to maintain its competitive service levels.

Action: Councillor Madoff moved that Council approve the funding for a grant for the Greater Victoria Film Commission in the amount of \$10,000 from the City of Victoria Corporate Grants (Miscellaneous) account.

CARRIED. 04/470

8. COUNCILLOR INFORMATION SHARING

- 8.1** Councillor Coleman announced the birth of Patrick O'Reilly's son and passed out chocolate cigars to the Mayor and Councillors on his behalf.

Committee offered their congratulations to Mr. O'Reilly and his family.

04/469

9. CLOSED MEETING - 2:10 A.M.

Action: Councillor Hughes moved that Committee of the Whole convene a closed meeting that excludes the public under Section 11(6) of the Council Bylaw for the reason that the following agenda items deal with matters specified in Section 11 (3) and/or (4) of the Council Bylaw.

CARRIED. 04/468

10. Land/Legal - Lease

Action: Councillor Fortin moved that it be recommended to Council that Council approve the new Lease with the Victoria Curling Club and authorize the City's signatories to execute both the lease and the reference plan to be registered, subject to the following partial list of conditions/covenants:

1. Five-year term commencing August 1, 2004;
2. One-5 year renewal option, with the City's consent;
3. \$1/year rent, in exchange for the Club taking responsibility for all costs related to the leasehold area/building;
4. City providing 20 parking spaces at no charge, along the northerly property line and others upon demand, at the Green Street lot, at rents to be determined.

CARRIED. 04/467

11. Chief's Permit

At the June 24, 2004 Committee of the Whole meeting Mr. Aujla requested Council's consideration of reinstating his Chief's Permit, and outlined the reasons for his appeal. This proceeding was adjourned pending review of further documentation.

Committee received a letter dated July 06, 2004 from the Victoria Police Department addressed to Mr. Aujla advising Mr. Aujla that they have authorized the issuance of a Chief's Permit to him.

04/466

12. Legal

The City Solicitor discussed with Committee, a submission that was published in the local media and drafted a letter for the City addressing the publication of that submission.

Action: Councillor Holland moved that the City Solicitor forward the draft letter to the media organization.

CARRIED. 04/465

13. Councillor Inquiries

Councillor Hughes asked for advice on a City RFP.

Councillor Thornton-Joe declared a non-pecuniary conflict of interest with the following matter, and was excused from the meeting at 2:35 p.m.

Councillor Savoie had a legal question with respect to the redevelopment of the property located at 528-532 Pandora Avenue.

04/464

Councillor Thornton-Joe returned at 2:42 p.m.

14. Cook Street Police Station

Committee received an update on this matter and requested that City Staff report back to Committee with the information requested.

04/463

Councillor Fortin declared a non-pecuniary conflict of interest with the following matter, and was excused from the meeting at 2:45 p.m.

15. Land/Legal – Purchase of Land

At the June 24, 2004 Committee of the Whole meeting, the Mayor was asked to follow up on a meeting and report back to the Committee.

Action: Councillor Savoie moved that it be recommended to Council that the City make an Offer to Purchase on the subject property.

CARRIED. 04/462

16. ADJOURNMENT OF CLOSED MEETING

Action: Councillor Fleming moved that the Closed Meeting be adjourned:

CARRIED. 04/461

The meeting adjourned at 3:15 p.m.

Mayor Lowe, Chair