

MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING
HELD THURSDAY, JANUARY 18, 2007, 9:00 a.m.

Committee Members Present: Councillor Coleman in the Chair, Councillors Chandler, Fortin, Holland, Hughes, Madoff, Thornton-Joe and Young.

Absent: Mayor Lowe and Councillor Fortin.

Staff Present: R. Woodland - Corporate Administrator; M. McCliggott – Acting City Manager; C. Havelka - Recording Secretary.

Staff Present for a Portion of the Meeting: D. Day – Director, Planning & Development; S. Masters - Manager, Administration; S. Barber – Senior Heritage Planner; M. Lam – Senior Urban Design Planner; N. Taylor - Project Manager, Victoria Agreement; A. Hudson – Senior Planner; D. Koch – Manager, Development Services; M. Hornell – Manager, Community Planning; N. Pointon – Communications; C. Ho – Executive Assistant; W. Zink – Manager, Social Planning & Housing; L. Chase – Senior Planner; B. Wiffen – Property Manager; C. O’Regan - Manager, Facilities & Operations; B. Dellebuur – Transportation; P. Sparanese – Deputy Director, Engineering; M. Hill – Downtown Coordinator.

1. MEETING CALLED TO ORDER BY THE CHAIR AT 9:03 a.m.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Councillor Coleman outlined the following changes to the January 18, 2007 Committee of the Whole agenda:

- Addition of Correspondence for Item 4
- Addition of Updated Report for In Camera Item # 17
- Addition of In Camera Item # 20
- Councillor Inquiries and Sharing:
 - a) Councillor Coleman
 - b) Councillor Madoff

Action: Councillor Hughes moved that the Agenda of the January 18, 2007 Committee of the Whole meeting be approved as amended.

CARRIED 07/061

3. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

3.1 January 11, 2007 Minutes

Councillor Thornton-Joe requested a correction to the January 11, 2007 Committee of the Whole Minutes, Item 10.1, Councillor Sharing, last sentence, to read:

"It was suggested that this project be referred to the Downtown Services Providers for comment."

Action: Councillor Holland moved that the Minutes of the Committee of the Whole meeting held January 11, 2007 be adopted.

CARRIED 07/060

3.2 January 11, 2007 In Camera Committee of the Whole Minutes

Action: Councillor Holland moved that the Minutes of the In Camera Committee of the Whole meeting held January 11, 2007 be adopted.

CARRIED 07/059

3.3 January 09, 2007 Special Budget Committee of the Whole Meeting Minutes

Action: Councillor Holland moved that the Minutes of the Special Budget Committee of the Whole meeting held January 09, 2007 be adopted.

CARRIED 07/058

3.4 January 09, 2007 In Camera Special Budget Committee of the Whole Meeting Minutes

Action: Councillor Holland moved that the Minutes of the Special Budget In Camera Committee of the Whole meeting held January 09, 2007 be adopted.

CARRIED 07/057

4. DELEGATION

4.1 Fairfield Community Association – Vision Statement and Report

The Fairfield Community Association (FCA) provided Committee with their vision statement and report. The statement was developed through a process led by a Sub-Committee of the Planning and Zoning Committee of the FCA. The process began in March of 2005, and the report and statement were endorsed by the FCA board in September 2006.

The vision statement documents the values held by Fairfield residents: why they live in Fairfield and what they view as an ideal future for their community. It is the product of a representative participatory, transparent and accessible pre-planning process. More than 60 people volunteered their time throughout the process and over 300 actively participated creating this document. Those who participated did so with overwhelmingly positive comments which demonstrates that the Vision Statement truly represents the values Fairfield residents hold and the hope they have for their community's future.

The Vision Statement will provide a solid foundation for the upcoming Fairfield planning process. The FCA looks forward to working with the City Planning department and Council in developing a plan that reflects our community's values and will realize, over the long term, our preferred future to the greatest degree possible.

Committee was presented with a framed copy of the Fairfield statement and Committee members thanked the FCA for their commendable presentation.

5. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

5.1 Development Permit # 000068 for 345 Harbour Road

Committee received a report dated November 29, 2006 from Development Services with respect to Development Permit # 000068 for 345 Harbour Road. This proposal is to construct a two-storey service building for industrial use at the Point Hope Shipyard site. The proposal is to replace the existing storage building and several storage sheds. The applicant has, by letter dated November 1, 2006, described the design and rationale of the proposal. It is noted that this Development Permit (without variances) does not require sign posting, notification and a hearing.

At the December 07, 2006 Committee of the Whole meeting, Council moved that further consideration of this matter be tabled subject to site plan information regarding this location.

Committee members discussed the location of the service building. Staff advised that they looked at the large scale plan and see room for movement. The applicant advised that moving the building would interfere with the operation of the rail spur. Committee members agreed to move this project forward, but requested further reporting on the location of the building and its relationship to the Dockside Green site.

Action: Councillor Holland moved that:

1. Staff meet with Dockside Green and Point Hope Maritime to further discuss the site plan for this project and report back to Council.
2. Council authorize the issuance of a Permit in accordance with:
 - i. Plans stamped 'Development Permit # 000068' dated November 01, 2006.
 - ii. Development meeting all bylaw requirements.

- iii. Final plans to be in accordance with plans identified above to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Development Department.

CARRIED 07/056

5.2 Development Variance Permit # 00034 for 451 Chester Avenue

Committee received a report from Development Services dated January 18, 2007 with respect to a Development Variance Permit # 00034 for 451 Chester Avenue. This application is to construct a four-storey multiple-family residential building with underground parking on a small lot where current zoning would permit a duplex.

The applicant is requesting eight variances as follows:

- Relax the minimum site area from 920 m² to 579 m²
- Decrease the open site space from 50% to 38%
- Increase site coverage from 40% to 58%
- Increase maximum height from 12 m to 13.6 m
- Vary the minimum front yard setback from 10.5 m to 3.6 m
- Relax minimum side and rear yard setbacks from 6.8 m to 2.3 m (south), 1.39 m (north), and 3.3 m (east)
- Decrease the required number of parking stalls from 15 to 11
- Waive the requirement for visitor parking

The applicant's rationale for the variances is that the proposed design solution is necessary in order to justify development of underground parking and to ensure a viable project. A letter attached to the report dated October 02, 2006 explains this proposal.

The combined eighth variances would result in large building mass relative to a small lot, and therefore, would not be in character with the established scale of existing development in the area. Further, the variances would result in little provision for useable open space and could result in loss of privacy between neighbours given the proposed proximity to lot lines.

The Advisory Design Panel reviewed the proposal and recommended its approval as the panel supported the proposed design. The application was also reviewed by the Planning & Zoning Committee of the Fairfield Community Association, with comments in the letter attached to the report.

Committee expressed their concerns over the variances, including the possible impact on neighbours with regards to loss of privacy. The applicant advised Committee members that they would consider registering a covenant on the building for it to remain a rental property for ten years. A Committee member noted the appeal of establishing a rental property but questioned the cost to the developer. The applicant suggested methods of mitigating the impact of lower return on rental properties. Committee also expressed concerns with respect to the ecological impact of high density projects.

Action: Councillor Holland moved that this Development Permit be declined.

5.3 Downtown Plan Update – Phase 2 Process and Scope

Committee received a report from Development Services dated January 11, 2007 with respect to an update on the Downtown Plan, Phase 2, Process and Scope. The purpose of this report is to identify and assess scope, process and timeline options for Phase 2 of the Downtown Plan Update and recommend a preferred approach.

The attached report and schedules included:

- **Schedule A** – Report and Summary of the Comments Received at the October 25, 2006 Stakeholder Meeting to discuss project terms of reference, scope and timeline.
- **Schedule B** – 1990 Plan Implementation Progress and Gap Analysis. An analysis of the identified issues and priority areas for an updated Downtown Plan. This Schedule frames this analysis using the Principles and goals developed by the Steering Committee from Phase 1.
- **Schedule C** – Project Charter for the development of Options and Preparation of a Draft Plan. This Schedule provides an overview of the process, consultation opportunities, and methods recommended to achieve a Draft Plan by the Fall of 2007.

The following conclusions are based on the completed analysis:

- There are resources available to fast track four priority topics to develop an interim policy that responds to current development applications.
- The fundamental structure and content of the 1990 Plan is sound, with identified topics requiring an update.
- Given the resources available, and working towards a Fall 2007 draft plan completion, a process focussed on identified strategic priorities with targeted consultation is the recommended way to proceed.

Some Committee members expressed concerns with regards to the complexity of language in the report. Other Committee members also commented on the density of information but felt it covered the issues Council wanted addressed. Some Committee members noted that the 1990 Downtown Plan is a sound plan that needs updating not a wholesale rewrite.

Committee was advised by staff that the terms “secure amenities” and “bonus density” are inter-related. Staff also advised that they have targeted March or April as the timeline when options and recommendations on the four fast tracked priorities could be brought before Committee.

Staff advised that the mission statement could acknowledge the City’s overall commitment to urban sustainability and how this will underpin all elements of the updated plan. As well, staff advised that the City’s current Green Building Policy project can be added to the chart summarizing related projects in progress.

Action: Councillor Holland moved that Council approve:

1. Option B, a targeted consultation approach focusing on the Strategic Priorities identified in the Gap Analysis as set out in the draft Project Charter included as Schedule C, with particular attention to fast tracking four strategic projects:
 - Bonus density / Securing public amenities
 - Building height
 - Building relationships
 - Extent of downtown
2. That Council consider early and ongoing involvement with the groups identified for the purpose of a future *Official Community Plan* amendment as set out in the draft Project Charter in Schedule C.
3. That Council receive, for information, Schedule A and B attached to this report.

CARRIED 07/054

5.4 Heritage Alteration Permit # 00051 for 1162 Fort Street

Committee received a report dated January 10, 2007 from Development Services with respect to a Heritage Alteration Permit # 00051 for 1162 Fort Street. The application is for a Heritage Alteration Permit to construct a new dormer at the rear of the east elevation of the Heritage Designated house at 1162 Fort Street. Whereas, the proposed dormer will not have a substantial visual impact on the historic character when viewed from the street, and is generally compatible, it is recommended the application be approved. The application was reviewed by the Heritage Advisory Committee on January 09, 2007 and it was recommended for approval. A Public Hearing is not required.

Action: Councillor Thornton-Joe moved that, pursuant to Heritage Designation Bylaw No. 3, Council authorize the issuance of Heritage Alteration Permit # 00051 in accordance with:

1. Plans dated December 27, 2006
2. Development meeting all bylaw requirements
3. Final plans to be in accordance with plans identified above

CARRIED 07/053

Councillor Coleman withdrew from the meeting at 10:55 a.m. Councillor Hughes assumed the Chair.

Councillor Coleman returned to the meeting at 10:57 a.m.

6. COMMUNITY PLANNING

6.1 Urban Development Potential in Downtown Victoria: 2006-2026

Committee received a report dated January 10, 2007 from Community Planning with respect to Urban Development Potential in Downtown Victoria: 2006-2026, presentation of Research Findings by Coriolis Consulting Corporation. As a part of Phase 1 of the Downtown Plan Update, Coriolis Consulting Corp. & Harris Consulting Inc. were retained to complete an analysis of the multi-family

residential, office, retail and service, and hotel markets in Downtown Victoria and to project potential future demand for each of these uses in the Downtown area. The research further assessed development capacity in the Downtown and Harris Green and compared the capacity estimates with the demand estimates.

This research provides an important base of information on future development demand and issues related to capacity constraints in Downtown Victoria, and serves as foundation material for the development and preparation of plan option for consideration in Phase 2.

Committee discussed and received the following information from the consulting group:

- the total development in Victoria over the next 20 years is estimated at 7000 to 9000 units, or 450 to 500 units per year.
- this pace of development could result in a lack of space by the year 2016.
- residential development, being more profitable, will crowd out commercial potential, if commercial interests are not protected.
- To meet development demands, the consulting group suggested contemplating increased density and height, and reserve areas of downtown as designated office space.
- There is an urgency to make committed decisions on how to manage development, and the fringe of the City was cited as an area where growth can be considered.

Action: Councillor Holland moved that Council receive this report for information and direct staff to take the data and research findings into account in the development of policy options in Phase 2 of the Downtown Plan Update.

CARRIED 07/052

7. CLOSED MEETING at 11:26 a.m.

Committee Members Present: Councillor Coleman in the Chair, Councillors Chandler, Holland, Hughes, Madoff and Thornton-Joe.

Committee Members Absent: Mayor Lowe, Councillor Fortin

Staff Present: R. Woodland – Corporate Administrator; M. McCiggott – Acting City Manager; P. Johnson – Staples, McDannold, Stewart; C. Havelka - Recording Secretary.

Staff Present for a Portion of the Meeting: D. Taylor – Victoria Police Dept., C. Kohut – Victoria Police Dept., M. Rankin – legal counsel for the Chief of Police; Cst. L. Beauvais – Victoria Police Dept.

Action: Councillor Hughes moved that Committee of the Whole convene a Closed meeting that excludes the public under Section 11(6) of the Council Bylaw for the reason that the following agenda items deal with matters specified in Section 11(3) and/or (4) of the Council Bylaw.

CARRIED 07/051

7.1 Appeal Hearing – Chief’s Permit

Committee convened a hearing regarding the appeal of the Police Chief’s decision to refuse a Chief’s Permit.

The discussion and decision was recorded and kept confidential.

CARRIED 07/050

8. ADJOURNMENT OF CLOSED MEETING AT 12:09 p.m.

9. OPEN MEETING CALLED TO ORDER BY THE CHAIR AT 12:10 p.m.

10. RECREATION SERVICES

10.1 Pre-Budget Approval for Community and Senior Centre Funding

Committee received a report from Recreation Services dated January 18, 2007, recommending Council’s pre-budget approval for Community and Senior Centre Funding for March 1st, 2007.

Community and Senior Centre grant funding is approved on an annual basis for centres to operate and expend funds in that calendar year. In past years City Council has given pre-budget approval for March 1 payment of one third of the provisional budget for grant to Community and Seniors’ Associations. The balance of the approved budget for these grants is to be paid July 1. With City budget approval in May and our payments to the Associations on approximately May 15, this pre-budget approval alleviates critical funding shortages some associations faced when required to find alternate funding for the first 4 ½ months of operation.

Action: Councillor Holland moved that Council:

1. give pre-budget approval for payment of one third of the 2006 provisional budget for community recreation staff grants to Community and Senior Associations on March 1, 2007.
2. The balance of the approved 2007 annual budgets for these grants be paid July 1, 2007.

CARRIED 07/049

11. ENGINEERING

11.1 Proposed Fort Street Bike Lanes – Richmond Road to Foul Bay Road

Committee received a report dated January 11, 2007 from Engineering with respect to proposed Fort Street bike lanes. The purpose of this report is to have Council approve the implementation of bike lanes on Fort Street between Richmond Road and Foul Bay Road, prior to submitting an application to the provincial government for matching funds.

The Engineering Department is proposing to install bike lanes on this section of Fort Street, which is a continuation of recent cycling improvements on the corridor. The project also enhances facilities for pedestrians, and achieves goals identified in the City's Corporate Strategic Plan. Installing bike lanes is accomplished by converting underutilized vehicle space, with only minimal road/pavement widening required.

The proposed design retains capacity for motor vehicles at the major intersections, enhances safety, and promotes consistent traffic speeds. Computer simulation showed the proposal can accommodate existing and anticipated future volumes. The design takes into consideration the need of road users, area residents, and businesses. An Open House held on January 10, 2007 and the Cycling Advisory Committee endorsed the project.

Project costs are estimated at approximately \$300,000. The City can apply for matching funds from the Provincial Government's Cycling Infrastructure Partnership Program (CIPP). The project has been endorsed so the application for CIPP funding will be submitted to the Province at the end of January, 2007. Program funding announcements are expected in June, 2007.

Construction is anticipated to begin in June 2007, and be completed by the end of August.

Action: Councillor Young moved that Council approve the implementation of bike lanes on Fort Street between Richmond Road and Foul Bay Road.

CARRIED 07/048

12. FINANCE

12.1 Correction to Assessment Rolls

Committee received a report from Finance dated January 10, 2007 with respect to corrections to assessment rolls. The City has received Supplementary # 23 for 2004, # 13 for 2005 and #6, #7, #8, #9 and #10 for 2006 prepared under the provisions of the Assessment Act. Section 434 of the Local Government Act requires that "...the Collector shall report the facts to Council which shall authorize the necessary adjustment."

The 2006 budgeted amount for taxes uncollectible is \$250,000.00. This amount has been spent; however, an allowance had been set up to cover the excess adjustments.

Action: Councillor Young moved that the adjustments to taxes based on the revised assessments, be approved.

CARRIED 07/047

12.2 Expenditure and Settlement of Claims Approval Limits

Committee received a report dated January 04, 2007 from Finance with respect to policy changes that will update the expenditure and settlement of claims approval limits.

In 1988, City Council approved the expenditure approval limits contained within the current Civic Expenditures Bylaw. A review of the expenditure approval limits for similar sized municipalities has shown that a number have established approval limits greater than the \$200,000.00 the City currently has for City Council's approval. The results of the review have been presented to the City's Finance/Human Resources Committee and approval was given for an increase in the limits.

A legislated change to the dollar limits that the Small Claims Courts can deal with has prompted a review of the City's claims settlement approval limits. The City's current approval limits have been in place for at least ten years. The changes recommended in this report have been presented to the City's Risk Management Committee and received their approval.

Action: Councillor Young moved that City Council adopt the recommendations as follows:

1. City Council approve the changes to the City's Civic Expenditure Bylaw, as approved by the Finance/Human Resources Committee:
 - Expenditures requiring the Manager of Supply Management Services' approval be changed from "up to \$100,000" to "up to \$200,000".
 - Expenditures requiring City Manager's approval be changed from "\$100,000 to \$200,000" to "\$200,000 to \$500,000" and include "or the Director of Finance".
 - Expenditures requiring Council's approval be changed from "over \$200,000" to "over \$500,000".
 - Emergency and Single Source purchases – the Manager of Supply Management Services' approval be changed from "up to \$10,000" to "up to \$25,000" and the Director of Finance from "\$25,000" to "\$50,000".

Additional Administrative Adjustments

- Reference to the Local Government Act being replaced with reference to the Community Charter.
- Replace "The Manager, SMS, may maintain a Purchasing Card program for purchases up to \$750 each" with "The Manager, SMS, may maintain a Purchasing Card program for minor expenditures, to a dollar limit per transaction approved by the Director of Finance".

- Currently, “For tender and request for proposal openings, in excess of \$200,000 (\$500,000), the Manager of Supply Management Services and Director of Finance conduct the opening of the documents. Replace “Director of Finance: with “Senior Buyer”.
 - Reference to “Department Head” be replaced with “Director”.
 - Reference to “Director” be replaced with “Director of Finance”.
2. City Council approve the changes to the City’s Claim Settlement approval limits, as approved by the Risk Management Committee:
 - Settlement of claims requiring the Director of Finance’s approval be changed from “up to \$2,000” to “up to \$5,000”.
 - Settlement of claims requiring Corporate Administrator’s approval be changed from “up to \$10,000” to “up to \$25,000”.
 3. City Council approve the inclusion of the City’s Claim Settlement approval limits in the City’s Expenditure Bylaw.

CARRIED 07/046

13. CORPORATE AND REGULATORY SERVICES

13.1 Road Closures – Licences of Use

Committee received a report dated January 03, 2007 with respect to obtaining Council’s consent to a partial road closure and to formalize playground arrangements with School District 61 (SD61) where improvements have been constructed to City property.

SD61 has constructed playgrounds on unimproved road allowance at several schools throughout the City. Issues surrounding liability and the legal use of road allowance have yet to be dealt with. These matters can be resolved by closing up the affected roads, raising title to the land and entering into Licences of Use, for playground purposes, with SD61. The Licence agreements will require SD61 to maintain and repair improvements to the City’s satisfaction, where existing, and obtain City’s approval for new construction. SD61 will be required to carry liability insurance and indemnify the City against any damages incurred by reason of the use of the licence area. The Licences will be vetted through the City’s solicitor for form and content.

SD61 has been consulted and concurs with the recommendations below.

Action:

- Councillor Madoff moved that Council approves the following actions at each school:
1. Oaklands – close up that portion of Morley Avenue shown crosshatched on plan, raise title to subject parcel and enter into Licence of Use with SD61.
 2. Quadra – raise title to both portions of Fifth Street, closed by Bylaws 80-75 and 82-20, and enter into Licence with SD61 for playground purposes.

13.2 Alternate Approval Process – Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 06-73

Committee received a report from Corporate and Regulatory Services with respect to alternate approval process for Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 06-73, Burnside-Gorge Community Centre. Council gave third reading to Loan Authorization (Burnside Gorge Community Centre) Bylaw No. 06-73 on November 23, 2006. The bylaw, which authorizes borrowing of up to \$2,259,000 for a new community centre in the Burnside Gorge neighbourhood received the Inspector of Municipalities' approval on 2006. The annual costs of borrowing estimated to be \$225,767 for this project are accounted for in the Five-Year Financial Plan Bylaw (2006). Prior to adopting this bylaw Council must receive the electors' assent through either a referendum or the Alternate Approval Process (AAP).

An AAP is recommended for this bylaw in light of the value of the loan (\$2,259,000) relative to the cost of a referendum (\$100,000). In addition, the AAP expedites the bylaw approval process while still giving electors an opportunity to express any opposition to the proposed borrowing. A successful petition against the bylaw (10% of all City electors) would require the Council to hold a referendum before adopting the bylaw.

For each AAP Council must:

1. establish the deadline for receiving elector responses;
2. establish elector response forms, which must be available to the public at City Hall.
3. make a fair determination of the total number of electors in the City of Victoria.

Action: Councillor Young moved that Victoria City Council proceeds with the Alternate Approval Process for Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 06-73, and establish the following process parameters:

1. The Elector Response Form is the form attached to this report;
2. The deadline for the receipt of elector Response Forms is 4:30 pm on Tuesday, February 27, 2007;
3. The number of electors in the City of Victoria is 64,381.

CARRIED 07/044

14. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

14.1 Disposition of Communications dated January 11, 2007

Action: Councillor Hughes moved that the Disposition of Communications dated January 11, 2007 from the Manager, Administration, be received for information and filed.

CARRIED 07/043

14.2 Proclamations – “Darwin Day”

Action: Councillor Hughes moved that February 12, 2007 be proclaimed as “*Darwin Day*”, in the City of Victoria, Capital City of the Province of British Columbia.

CARRIED 07/042

14.3 United Way Presentation

The Corporate Administrator presented Council with a Gold Award plaque from the United Way for attaining Gold level donorship for six years in a row.

15. COUNCILLOR INQUIRIES AND SHARING

15.1 Fundraising for Tree Replacement in City Parks

Councillor Madoff shared with Committee plans to launch a fundraising campaign for replacement of trees impacted by storms, specifically in parks and on boulevards. Parks’ staff is currently working on the specifics of the campaign, and will approach schools and corporations to help with this project.

15.2 Greater Victoria Economic Development Agency

Councillor Coleman shared with Committee information regarding the newly formed Greater Victoria Economic Development Agency (GVEDA), a group composed of businesses and business associations to provide an industry-led solution to coordinating Regional Economic Development. Councillor Coleman advised the GVEDA has invited Council to meet them on January 31, 2007 at the Vancouver Island Technology Park, 4464 Markham Street, from 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. Councillor Coleman also advises that the GVEDA has requested to appear before Committee in early February.

16. CLOSED MEETING at 12:22 p.m.

Committee Members Present: Councillor Coleman in the Chair, Councillors Chandler, Holland, Hughes, Madoff and Thornton-Joe.

Committee Members Absent: Mayor Lowe and Councillor Fortin.

Staff Present: R. Woodland – Corporate Administrator; M. McCloggott – Acting City Manager; C. Havelka - Recording Secretary.

Staff Present for a Portion of the Meeting: D. Koch – Manager, Planning Division; S. Barber – Senior Heritage Planner; M. Lam - Senior Urban Design Planner.

Action: Councillor Hughes moved that Committee of the Whole convene a Closed meeting that excludes the public under Section 11(6) of the Council Bylaw for the reason that the following agenda items deal with matters specified in Section 11(3) and/or (4) of the Council Bylaw.

CARRIED 007/041

16.1 Land – Licence of Occupation

Committee received information from Corporate and Regulatory Services with respect to a Licence of Occupation. Council discussed this matter and agreed more information was required.

Action: Councillor Young moved that further consideration of this matter be tabled subject to further information and reporting.

CARRIED 007/040

16.2 Appointment – Downtown Advisory Committee

Action: Councillor Thornton–Joe moved that it be recommended to Council that City Council appoint Christopher Wylie to the Downtown Advisory Committee (DAC) for the term ending December 31, 2007.

CARRIED 07/039

16.3 Legal – Land Development

Committee received information regarding a legal matter with respect to land development.

Action: Councillor Chandler moved that Council receive the information provided by the Corporate Administrator for information.

CARRIED 07/038

Councillor Chandler withdrew from the meeting 12:35 p.m. due to a direct pecuniary conflict with the following item.

16.4 Land – Lease

Committee received information from the Victoria Agreement Project Manager with respect to a land matter.

The discussion was recorded and kept confidential.

Action: Councillor Hughes moved that Committee authorize the Director of Finance to provide a grant in the amount of \$31,500 to Y.E.S. for the Youth Hospitality Training Project.

CARRIED 007/037
Councillor Young voted against this motion.

17. ADJOURNMENT

Action: Councillor Holland moved that the Committee of the Whole meeting of January 18, 2007 be adjourned at 12:51 p.m.

CARRIED 07/036

Councillor Coleman, Chair