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1.0 Project Purpose

The purpose of this project is to produce a Burnside-Gorge/Douglas Area Plan (Plan) that will advance the objectives of the Official Community Plan (OCP), respond to current conditions, and present a greater level of detail regarding how the area will grow and change over the next 30 years.

2.0 Background

The 2012 Official Community Plan (OCP) brought forward a new vision for how Victoria will grow and change over the next 30 years and identified the need for renewed local area planning to provide a greater level of detail focused on areas where growth and change are expected.

The OCP identifies Burnside neighbourhood as a priority for local area planning, with:

- strategic opportunities for growth and placemaking along Douglas Corridor and in urban villages and town centres, to support the area’s role as a key regional transit corridor and gateway to Victoria;
- adopted policy direction to enhance the vibrancy of the Rock Bay District and the broader employment lands as key economic assets for current and future businesses; and,
- a need for improvements to infrastructure, amenities and services to knit together disparate areas and support urban growth, livability and sense of place for current and future residents and employees.

2.1 Local Area Planning Program Terms of Reference

In July 2014, Council adopted the Local Area Planning Program Terms of Reference which provides details regarding the purpose, approach, products and process of local area planning, particularly those elements that are common across all plans. At the same meeting, Council endorsed an approach to completing Local Area Plans within the Priority 1 areas identified in the OCP and the OCP Implementation Strategy (2013). Council approved initiating one overarching Local Area Plan in 2014 that generally follows the boundaries of the Burnside neighbourhood (Figure 1).

As directed by the Local Area Planning Program Terms of Reference, adopted by Council in July 2014, the purpose of the Plan will be to:

- determine how the City-wide goals and Neighbourhood Directions in the OCP can be best addressed and implemented at the local level
- provide a clear vision for how growth, development and other change will be managed in the local area
- respond to changing regional and local area trends and population dynamics
- plan for community services and amenities
- establish a strategy for major public investments, including estimated costs and funding options
- provide more detailed land use policies and built form objectives to guide the development of property.

The Plan is intended to provide a framework and level of strategic planning that is more detailed than the OCP. Used in conjunction with the OCP, the Plan will provide more specific guidance for City Council, staff, citizens, private sector and organizations for future decisions related to the following six core topics:

1. **Land Management and Development** (e.g. land use, density, building forms and heights)
2. **Placemaking** (e.g. urban design of public space and private development, heritage conservation)
3. **Transportation and Mobility** (e.g. conceptual complete street designs; pedestrian and cycling routes)
4. **Infrastructure** (e.g. servicing, including water, sewer and stormwater; energy conservation)
5. **Parks and Public Spaces** (e.g. type and scale of public open space)
6. **Amenities and Services** (e.g. new/enhanced public amenities, facilities and community services)

The Burnside-Gorge/Douglas Area Plan will generally follow the boundaries of the Burnside neighbourhood (see Figure 1).
2.1 Key Geographic Areas of Focus

The Burnside neighbourhood has complex land uses and planning needs. Local area planning will focus on areas where future residential or employment growth and change are anticipated. These areas can be generally grouped into three key geographic areas of focus to be refined during Phase 1 of the project:

1. **Industrial and General Employment Lands, including Rock Bay**
   - The regionally significant industrial and general employment lands within the Burnside neighbourhood, including the Rock Bay District

2. **Douglas Street Corridor**
   - The regionally significant Douglas Street Corridor including Humber Green Large Urban Village and Mayfair Town Centre

3. **Residential and Mixed Use Areas**
   - Within the larger residential and mixed-use areas of Burnside Neighbourhood, the Plan will focus on areas of anticipated growth or change, including confirmation of urban village locations and boundaries, planning for the Gorge Road and Burnside Road Corridors and related areas
2.2 Existing Plans and Policy Direction

The primary goal of local area planning is to advance the objectives of the OCP, particularly the Burnside Neighbourhood Directions contained in Section 23 of the OCP. In addition to the OCP, Council has approved other policy plans that establish objectives for the Burnside area, most notably the Burnside Neighbourhood Plan (1992), as well as the Victoria Harbour Pathway Plan (2008), Greenways Plan (2003), Victoria Harbour Plan (2001), Bicycle Master Plan (1995, update in progress) and Neighbourhood Transportation Management Plan (1993).

The existing OCP policy objectives most relevant to the preparation of the plan, drawn largely from the OCP’s Neighbourhood Directions, include:

- accommodate higher density development along the Douglas Street Corridor to support its role as a primary transit and growth corridor and a gateway to Victoria
- retain and enhance the industrial and general employment areas
- develop Rock Bay as an intensive, green employment centre
- enhance the urban design of the area
- develop Mayfair Town Centre and Humber Green Village as places for future growth supportive of transit
- consider revisions to existing Urban Village locations and boundaries
- support a mix of housing types, forms and tenures in the area
- for major corridors, create more complete streets that can accommodate various types of transportation modes including pedestrians, cyclists, transit and vehicles
- improve the pedestrian and cycling environment and connections
- improve public access to the water
- locate new park and public space in the area
- enhance community services and facilities.

2.3 Public Engagement Strategy

Broad stakeholder engagement will be critical to the success of this plan. The Burnside neighbourhood is a complex area which is home to a diverse population of close to 6,000 residents, more than 1,400 businesses, and transportation corridors and employment lands of regional significance. A Communication and Engagement Strategy (Appendix A) outlines engagement techniques and timelines, identifies key local and regional stakeholders and identifies strategies for reaching harder-to-reach groups. The strategy focuses on engaging the diversity of both residents and business stakeholders in the plan area. An Engagement Advisory Group will play a key role in helping staff to design and deliver public engagement.
2.4 “One City” Approach

During this project, the City will take a “One City” approach that encourages collaboration among City departments and other organizations to respond to issues outside the scope of local area planning that might emerge while the project is still underway. Under the “One City” approach, staff will work collaboratively to identify the appropriate follow-up and response.

2.5 Collaboration with District of Saanich

The District of Saanich is proposing a Saanich Douglas Corridor/Uptown Centre Planning Study to examine long-term land use, urban design conditions and transportation improvements along the Douglas Street corridor from the Victoria border to the area around Uptown. The project is anticipated to be undertaken in 2015-2016, presenting possible opportunities for joint engagement and planning efforts. Staff from both municipalities have already begun meeting to discuss possible opportunities for collaboration.

3.0 Scope of Work

The scope of work and deliverables for this project will be guided by the Local Area Planning Program Terms of Reference (2014) and will include:

- Compile and review existing policies, technical studies and other analysis related to the study area and draw on this information to inform public engagement and the development of potential plan options.
- Develop and deliver a public engagement process, in collaboration with the Engagement Advisory Group, to facilitate community dialogue, identify issues and opportunities, analyze the impacts of different options and develop a shared stake in the future of the plan area.
- Develop policies for the following six core topics that advance OCP objectives, provide a finer level of detail and consider the unique features and objectives of the local area:
  - **Land Management and Development**: how future population and employment growth will be addressed and accommodated in the local area (e.g. land use, density, building forms and height)
  - **Placemaking**: how urban design and heritage in the local area will contribute to the creation of special places (e.g. urban design of public space and private development; heritage conservation)
  - **Transportation and Mobility**: how transportation infrastructure and connections will be enhanced within the local area and connect to the rest of the City and adjacent municipalities. This topic will include content and actions previously addressed in Neighbourhood Transportation Management Plans, as appropriate (e.g. conceptual complete street designs; pedestrian and cycling routes)
Infrastructure: how physical infrastructure and assets will support future growth (e.g. servicing, including water, sewer and stormwater; energy conservation)

Parks and Public Spaces: planning for public spaces that serve recreational, ecological, community gathering and other purposes (e.g. type and scale of public open space)

Amenities and Services: planning for the provision of new (and the enhancement of existing) public amenities, facilities and community services.

- Consider including additional topics and associated policies in response to local need and emerging issues and opportunities.
- Develop an Action Plan for guiding plan implementation and progress over time, including estimated costs, funding options and key actions to be implemented immediately following the approval of the Plan.
- Undertake any or all of the following, depending on the Plan outcomes and pending the availability of resources:
  - drafting of any zoning or guidelines required, integrated with the Zoning Bylaw Review, including zoning updates for Rock Bay as part of the implementation of the Downtown Core Area Plan
  - recommendations for new or amended Development Permit or Heritage Conservation Areas
  - other planning policies, to be determined.

- Work collaboratively with the staff Inter-departmental Technical Resource Group (see section 6.2.2) and Engagement Advisory Group throughout the project to facilitate communication between citizens, businesses, organizations, external agencies and City staff to facilitate a “One City approach” (section 2.4) to emerging issues and encourage action while planning.

4.0 Deliverables

The project will provide the following deliverables:

1. A proposed Burnside-Gorge/Douglas Area Plan to provide detailed policy guidance for future growth and change in the study area. The Plan may include some or all of the following components:
   - vision, broad objectives, policies, actions and a list of desired amenities
   - design concepts, illustrations, diagrams, guidelines
   - principles, strategies and other planning approaches, to be determined.

2. A proposed Action Plan to include actions to implement the Plan, timelines, roles and responsibilities and anticipated funding mechanisms. The Action Plan will be developed in close consultation with other departments in order to consider other City priorities, objectives and resources, and align the Action Plan with City’s Five-Year Financial Plan, 20-Year Capital Plan and Corporate
Strategic Plan. Recommended actions may include some or all of the following:

- new or amended Development Permit and Heritage Conservation Areas and associated guidelines
- amendments to existing local area plans, as needed
- amendments to Zoning Regulation Bylaw or other City bylaws, as needed
- recommendations for capital works, as needed
- recommendations for City services, programs and operations, as needed
- recommendations for partnership, advocacy or additional research, as needed.

5.0 Timeline

The project will follow a five-phased process, where the tasks, deliverables and milestones of the later phases will be shaped by the findings of the earlier ones. As a result, the timeline provides more detail for Preplanning and Phases 1 and 2.

The project timeline includes an implementation phase, Phase 5, to implement priority actions and lay the foundation for effective implementation of the Plan over time. The timing and scope of Phase 5 will be established through Council’s adoption of the Action Plan, pending available resources.
5.1 Pre-Planning
July 2014 – March 2015 (underway)

- Conduct informal information interviews with some initial stakeholders to inform the development of the project charter and communication and engagement strategy
- Present proposed project charter, communication and engagement strategy and proposed Engagement Advisory Group terms of reference to Planning and Land Use Committee of Council for consideration
- Prepare background information that can be used to inform the project and public engagement process:
  - may include available information such as historical trends and data, composition of the residential, business and broader stakeholder community, land capacity analysis, feasibility studies, needs assessments, market forecasts, land use policies and regulations
  - create local area map series to depict spatial data
- Initiate project communications activities, including project identity, website and outreach materials
- Call for applications and Council appointment of Engagement Advisory Group
- Initial meeting of Engagement Advisory Group

5.2 Phase One: Identify Issues and Opportunities
April – July 2015

- Work with Engagement Advisory Group to initiate Phase 1 public engagement activities. The purpose of public engagement activities for this phase will be to help identify potential issues, community values, ideas and opportunities. In Rock Bay, where initial planning has been undertaken through the Downtown Core Area Plan, public engagement will focus on confirming and building upon existing policy directions.
- Deliver public engagement activities according to the communication and engagement strategy.
- Focus public engagement efforts on creating a shared level of understanding of the project and conditions in the local area to ensure that all participants are aware of the issues and opportunities, based on available information and past analysis.
- Identify issues/topics where additional study or analysis will be needed in Phase Two.
- Present a report to Council summarizing Phase 1 public engagement findings, proposed guiding community objectives and further public engagement that is needed.

5.3 Phase Two: Generate, Test and Refine Planning Options
July 2015 – March 2016

- Build on the issues, opportunities and objectives identified in Phase 1 to generate, explore and evaluate different options for addressing the issues.
• Work with Engagement Advisory Group to design and deliver public engagement activities to support Phase 2.
• Focus analysis and public engagement efforts on those issues and opportunities that are more complex or where there is less agreement on the preferred approach. Different methods will be used to understand the potential implications of different options, including financial impacts. This analysis will be led by staff and methods may include:
  o collaborative design workshops (e.g. charrette)
  o consultation with subject area experts
  o on-going working groups to address more complex issues
  o staff-led technical analysis
  o consultant studies (in unusual cases only, where staff is not available or there would be a benefit to having third-party analysis).
• Analyze options for a variety of impacts, such as cost, transportation, infrastructure, land use and climate change.
• Analyze options for their potential impact on services and infrastructure delivered by external agencies or the private sector (e.g. transit, school or daycare needs). Work with external partners to determine if there is adequate capacity to accommodate future growth.
• Depending on the issue(s), coordinate a public review of options before recommending preliminary Plan directions to Council. The need for public review will be determined in collaboration with the Engagement Advisory Group.
• Present options for preliminary Plan directions to Council before proceeding to Plan drafting. This will include an assessment of the physical, social, environmental and economic implications of different options.

5.4 Phase Three: Review Draft Plan
April 2016 – July 2016

• Develop a Draft Plan and associated Action Plan for public review.
• Work with the Engagement Advisory Group to develop a public engagement process to review the Draft Plan, the associated Action Plan and any other deliverables. Develop engagement methods that will encourage citizens, businesses and organizations to critically evaluate the Draft Plan’s policies and actions and their potential implications.
• If the Plan deliverables include proposed amendments to the OCP, consider consultation obligations required under legislation.
• Produce a summary of public engagement feedback, including a synthesis of key issues and opportunities and how public feedback was considered.
• Refine policies based on public feedback and additional analysis, as necessary.
5.5 Phase Four: Plan to Council  
August 2016  
- Present the proposed Plan and Action Plan to Council’s Planning and Land Use Committee, followed by a non-statutory public hearing convened by Council to consider the proposed Plans  
- Refine proposed Plan and Action Plan if directed by Council.

5.6 Phase Five: Implement  
January 2017 → on-going  
- Seek funding approval, as part of the 2017 financial planning process, for any short-term implementation actions.  
- Implement priority Year One Actions (to be detailed in Action Plan).  
- Work with other departments and external partners to coordinate implementation of longer-term action.  
- Work with other organizational reporting processes to establish system for monitoring progress on implementing the Action Plan.

6.0 Budget, Staff and Third Party Resources

6.1 Budget  
In addition to staff resources, the cost for the project is estimated at approximately $150,000 (Table 1). It is proposed that Council allocate this budget from the Sustainable Planning and Community Development budget for Official Community Plan Implementation (2015-2016). Wherever possible, staff will carry out the work for this project. The requested budget will be used for expenses related to public engagement and for consultant-led transportation technical studies. Due to the complex planning needs and issues covered by the plan's study area, the project also includes a significant contingency fund to address issues and opportunities that may emerge during the early phases of the project. The findings of public engagement in Phase 1 and 2 will largely determine the scope of issues and associated resource needs for subsequent phases. As a result, all costs in Table 1 are contingent on the findings of Phase 2 and 3, and may be refined.
Table 1: Projected Operational Budget, Burnside-Gorge/Douglas Area Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Project Phase</th>
<th>Expense Description</th>
<th>Estimated Operational Costs (excludes staff resources)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Pre-Planning</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Phase 1: Identify Issues and Opportunities</td>
<td>Engagement Expenses (events, survey, promotion)</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase 2: Generate, Test, Refine Planning Options</td>
<td>Collaborative Design Workshops/Charrettes (3-4)</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Engagement Expenses (workshops, focus groups)</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transportation Studies for major corridors (incl. contingency for additional technical study or modeling)</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2015 Contingency- for additional engagement, technical analysis or other project needs to address emerging issues, if necessary</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$120,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Phase 2: (cont’d)</td>
<td>Engagement Expenses (workshops, options open house)</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase 3: Review Draft Plan</td>
<td>Engagement Expenses (events, promotion)</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase 4: Plan to Council</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase 3 &amp; 4 Contingency</td>
<td>2016 Contingency- for additional engagement, technical analysis or other project needs to address emerging issues, if necessary</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$30,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Phase 5: Implementation</td>
<td>To be determined</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Projected Operational Costs</strong></td>
<td><strong>$150,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2 Staff Resources

Staff resources for this project will consist of two separate but related groups:

- Staff Project Team
- Inter-Departmental Technical Resource Group.

The involvement of additional staff from other departments may be required periodically to advance the overall project and to assist with certain issues or special events.

6.2.1 Staff Project Team

The Staff Project Team (Table 2), comprised of staff from Sustainable Planning and Community Development, Citizen Engagement and Strategic Planning, and Engineering and Public Works (Transportation), will be responsible for project management, data analysis, producing and recommending policy options for
Council’s consideration. It will also be responsible for planning and delivering public engagement and working with the Engagement Advisory Group.

### Table 2: Staff Project Team Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Estimated Full Time Equivalents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Planning and Community Development</td>
<td>Project Lead</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior Planner: Kristina Bouris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior Planner: Marc Cittone</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior Planner: Robert Batallas</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior Planner, Urban Design: Chris Gower</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planning Analyst: Rob Bateman</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Engagement and Strategic Planning</td>
<td>Civic Engagement Advisor: Bridget Frewer</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering and Public Works</td>
<td>Transportation Planner: Steve Hutchison</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 6.2.2. Inter-departmental Technical Resource Group

This group of 13 staff from across City departments will support the “One City” approach by sharing expertise and ensuring smooth flow of information among the many departments involved. The timing and level of involvement of individual members will vary based on the topic area. Members will advise on the feasibility of potential policy and implementation actions, help identify issues, opportunities and stakeholders and, where needed, attend engagement events. Members will act as a “point person” for their home department/agency. This group includes thirteen staff from across City departments, as shown in Table 3.

### Table 3: Inter-departmental Technical Resource Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Planning and Community Development</td>
<td>Heritage Planner: Adrian Brett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior Planner, Development Services: Brian Sikstrom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior Planner, Social Issues: John Reilly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zoning Administrator: Thom Pebernat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering and Public Works</td>
<td>Supervisor, Infrastructure Planning (Underground Utilities): Pete Neff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manager, Streets Operations: David Myles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>Manager, Financial Planning: Jo-Ann O’Connor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks and Recreation</td>
<td>Manager, Parks Planning and Design: Leigh Sifton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manager, Arts, Culture and Special Events: Kristina Oberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solicitor’s Office</td>
<td>Assistant City Solicitor: Kate Blokmanis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Fire Department</td>
<td>Emergency Management Coordinator: Rob Johns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assistant Deputy Chief, Administration: Chris Royle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Police Department</td>
<td>Community Resource Officer (Burnside- Gorge): Cst. Kathi Brown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.0 Project Organizational Structure

The following diagram outlines the relationships between the various City entities in completing this plan.

![Organizational Structure Diagram]
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8.0 Risk Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk #</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Likelihood to Occur (L,M,H)</th>
<th>Impact if Occurs (L,M,H)</th>
<th>Mitigation Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Uncertainty regarding future of rapid transit and associated development on Douglas Street</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Plan will consider different scenarios for future of Douglas St. The Corridor's function as a key transit corridor is not in doubt, and can guide land use and placemaking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lack of capital resources to fully implement the new Local Area Plan</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Plan and Action Plan will inform and be informed by future organizational planning and budget processes. Action Plan will identify funding mechanisms to be proposed during future financial planning processes. If resources unavailable, actions may be deferred or cancelled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Raised public expectation regarding the pace of implementation of the plan</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Action plan will include detailed timeline for implementation subject to funding and resources. Monitoring will report on progress and limitations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lack of staff resources to complete project on time</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Detailed staff resource plans prepared in pre-planning phase. Scope of plan may be narrowed if necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Lack of staff resources to support plan implementation</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Project Charter designates staff time for initial phase of plan implementation. If staff resources unavailable, implementation actions may be deferred.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>New development while new local area plan is still being prepared</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OCP and existing neighbourhood plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>New or competing organization priorities delays plan implementation</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Action Plan will inform and be informed by future organizational planning and budget processes. Actions may be deferred or cancelled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lack of representation of the full range of both business and residential stakeholders in engagement process</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Engagement Advisory Group will help facilitate engagement; staff will invest effort to fill gaps in participation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Lack of strong public confidence in consultation and plan process</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Engagement and outreach will acknowledge past public engagement processes where relevant. Engagement Strategy will consider ways to minimize burnout, such as holding engagement activities for different City projects simultaneously.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>