CITY OF VICTORIA BOARD OF VARIANCE MINUTES JANUARY 11, 2024

Present: Trevor Moat- Chair

Margaret Eckenfelder

Rosa Munzer Rus Collins Joanne Thibault

Absent:

Staff: Samantha Cole, Zoning Technician

Alena Hickman, Planning Secretary

The meeting was called to order at 12:30 pm.

1. Appeals

12:30 Board of Variance Appeal #01008 Stephen Albinati, Applicant; Stephen Albinati & Kathleen Morrison, Owners 10 Linden Avenue

Present Zoning: R1-B
Present Use: Vacant

The proposal is to construct a new single-family dwelling with a secondary suite.

| Bylaw Requirement | Relaxation Requested |
|-------------------|---|
| Section 1.2.4.a | Height of building relaxed from 7.6m to 8.33m. |
| Section 1.2.4.a | Height of building relaxed from 2 storeys to 3 storeys. |
| Section 1.2.4.c | Relaxation from roof deck not permitted to permitted. |

Applicant

- Our intention when we purchased the home was to renovate. This became financially untenable, and we had to make the tough decision to build a new home.
- We are planning to use any scraps from the old house in the new build. The rest of the salvageable pieces were sold or donated for reuse.
- We have aging parents and would like to have space for them.
- We have a hardship with not being able to build a basement garage that complies. We need this to accommodate my father with Parkinson's, who in the future will have mobility issues.
- We tried many different designs and configurations, but they didn't alleviate our need for these variances.
- Our neighbours are generally supportive.

Board

- Where on the proposed plan does it show that the stone will be reused?
 - o It will be used for some landscaping and possibly a fire pit.
- The garage is only 11ft wide which seems small. Can you comment on that?
 - We didn't see the need to make it any bigger than necessary and we didn't think a ramp would be aesthetically pleasing.
- Is the intention for the basement entry stairs or a ramp?
 - We did look at a ramp, but the intent would be to have stairs. We are trying to build a flexible space that would accommodate for the future.
- Would you have been seeking variances if you had not torn the house down?
 - o No. It would have been accepted as is because it was already existing.
- Can you describe the consultation you had with neighbours?
 - We spoke to many of our neighbours, answered questions and handed out drawings.

Neighbours

The neighbour at 14 Linden Avenue is in support of the variances being requested.

Public portion of the meeting closed.

- Difficult to consider variances since this is a greenfield site.
- Neighbours are almost 100% in favour.
- Informative presentation that makes a good case for the variances requested.
- Appreciate the consultation with neighbours.

Motion:

Moved: Rus Collins Seconded: Joanne Thibault

That the following variances be approved:

| Bylaw Requirement | Relaxation Requested |
|-------------------|---|
| Section 1.2.4.a | Height of building relaxed from 7.6m to 8.33m. |
| Section 1.2.4.a | Height of building relaxed from 2 storeys to 3 storeys. |
| Section 1.2.4.c | Relaxation from roof deck not permitted to permitted. |

Carried Unanimously

Meeting Adjourned at 12:45 pm